



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/886,828 | 06/21/2001 | John Joseph Curro | 7897R3 | 8066 |
| 27752 | 7590 | 08/22/2003 | 6 | |
| THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161 6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE CINCINNATI, OH 45224 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | BEFUMO, JENNA LEIGH | |
| ART UNIT | | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| 1771 | | | | |

DATE MAILED: 08/22/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Interview Summary | Application N. 09/886,828 | Applicant(s) CURRO ET AL. |
| | Examiner Jenna-Leigh Befumo | Art Unit 1771 |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jenna-Leigh Befumo.

(3) Angela Stone.

(2) John Curro.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 August 2003.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: Show samples produced with and with apertures - the samples bonded together layers that cannot be thermally bonded such as foil and paper.

Claim(s) discussed: _____.

Identification of prior art discussed: Srinivasan.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW-SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the criticality of the aspect ratio for the bond sites. Applicant presented samples and pictures that show that the bond sites formed are thin slits which all the non-meltable layers to be apertured during processing so that the outer layers can be bonded together. The bond site created is then weakened sufficiently so that the site will break to form an aperture when tension is applied in the cross machine direction. Agreed that if the Applicant could show criticality for an aspect ratio of greater than 3, i.e., the apertures would not form with a lower aspect ratio, or limit the thickness of the bond site as set forth in the specification, this would overcome the prior art which does not specifically discuss the aspect ratio of the bond sites.